

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ·	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,728	01/11/2002	Thomas R. Haynes	RSW920010154US1	1589	
7:	7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER	
Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
IBM Corporation T81/503 PO Box 12195			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			· 2675	3	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2001	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'		OUR
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/044,728	HAYNES, THOMAS R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675
The MAILING DATE of this communication a eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the set of extended period for reply set of extended period for reply set of extended period for reply set of extende	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty (but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHUM, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		olication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		
application from the International Bure	-	Ç
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.		
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has bee	en received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	· •	-
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· <u></u>	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> . 6) <u>Other:</u>	•
Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinichiro (JP 10207441 A).

As to independent claims 1, 11, 15 and 16, Shinichiro discloses a method in a data processing system for changing a pointer, the method comprising, receiving a user input indicating that a pointing device was moved (abstract, lines 4-6); calculating a rate of movement for the pointing device (abstract, lines 4-6); comparing the rate of movement with a given threshold of speed (abstract, lines 4-7); and automatically updating a presentation of the pointer based on the given threshold of speed in response to receiving the user input, wherein a presentation of the pointer is altered if the rate of movement exceeds the given threshold of speed (abstract, lines 4-11).

As to dependent claim 2, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the change for the pointer is associated with the given threshold of speed (abstract, lines 4-11).

As to dependent claim 4, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the presentation of the point is a series of different changes in presentation based on the rate of movement for the pointing device (abstract).

Art Unit: 2675

As to dependent claim 6, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the threshold is a measurement of a distance traveled with respect to a time interval for the distance traveled (abstract, lines 4-6).

As to dependent claim 8, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the updating step includes changing the color of the pointer (abstract, lines 8-11).

As to dependent claim 9, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the updating step includes changing the shape of the pointer (abstract, lines 8-11).

As to dependent claim 10, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the updating step includes changing the size of the pointer (abstract, lines 8-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinichiro (JP 10207441 A).

As to dependent claim 3, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein other thresholds are present in addition to the given threshold of speed and wherein the pointer is changed each time one of the other thresholds is exceeded (abstract). Shinichiro discloses moving speed, cursor position and changing of distance. Although Shinichiro does not explicitly state other thresholds, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the system of Shinichiro takes into account the cursor position and changing of distance.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,728

Art Unit: 2675

As to dependent claim 5, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the pointer returns to its previous appearance when the rate of movement for the pointing device decreases below the given threshold of speed. Although Shinichiro does not state that the pointer returns to its previous appearance, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the pointer returns to the original appearance as in lines 1-2 of the abstract, Shinichiro discloses that the object of the invention is to enable the user to easily view/detect a quick moving cursor.

As to dependent claim 7, limitations of claim 1, and further comprising, wherein the pointing device is one of a mouse, a pointing stick, a touch pad, a joystick, a key on a keyboard, an electronic pen, or a trackball. Although Shinichiro does not disclose where the pointing device is one of a mouse, a pointing stick, a touch pad, a joystick, a key on a keyboard, an electronic pen, or a trackball, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the pointing device must be one of the above.

As to dependent claim 12, limitations of claim 11, and further comprising, wherein the multiple thresholds are defined for changing the pointer. Shinichiro discloses moving speed, cursor position and changing of distance. Although Shinichiro does not explicitly state other thresholds, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the system of Shinichiro takes into account the cursor position and changing of distance.

5. Claim13, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinichiro (JP 10207441 A) in view of Heath et al (US 4,760,386).

As to independent claims 13, 14, 17 and 18, limitations of claims 1 and 11, and further comprising, wherein the data processing system comprises, a bus system, a communications unit

Application/Control Number: 10/044,728

Art Unit: 2675

Page 5

connected to the bus system; a memory connected to the bus system, wherein the memory includes as set of instructions. Shinichiro does not state where the data processing system comprises a bus system, a communications unit, or a memory. Heath et al disclose a cursor system in Fig. 1, comprising, a bus system (item 2), a communications unit (item 1), a memory connected to the bus (item 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the processing system of Heath et al into that of Shinichiro as the processing system would be in any type of computer systems with cursor controls.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Srilakshmi K. Kumar** whose telephone number is **(703) 306** 5575.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

· , , , *

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 703 306 5575. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on 703 305 9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872 9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305 4700.

Srilakshmi K. Kumar Examiner

Art Unit 2675

SKK November 16, 2003

> STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600